

ANTHONY SCOTT LEACH,

Petitioner,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

Armed Career Criminal Act violates the Constitution's guarantee of due process" but did not address the residual clause under the career-offender guideline. See Johnson, 135 S. Ct. at 2563.

On November 29, 2016, Respondent filed the instant motion to stay and hold these proceedings in abeyance pending the United States Supreme Court's decision in Beckles v. United States, No. 15-8544. (Doc. No. 3.) According to Respondent, Beckles presents questions that are relevant to, or dispositive of, Petitioner's Motion, including: whether Johnson's constitutional holding applies to the residual clause of the definition of "crime of violence" in the career-offender guideline, and, if so, whether Johnson's invalidation of the residual clause of the career-offender guideline applies retroactively on collateral review.

Respondent states that counsel for Petitioner consents to the motion to stay. For the reasons stated by Respondent, and without objection from Petitioner, the Court concludes that the motion should be granted.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Respondent's motion to stay (Doc. No. 3) is **GRANTED**, and this matter is held in abeyance pending the Supreme Court's decision in Beckles. Thereafter, Respondent shall have 60 days from the date the Supreme Court decides Beckles to file a response to Petitioner's § 2255 motion to vacate.

Signed: December 7, 2016



Richard L. Voorhees
United States District Judge

